Antimicrobial stewardship: what’s it all about?

SUMMARY
The global problem of antibiotic resistance is threatening us. Since 2001, the proportion of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections in the community has doubled.

As one of the highest users of antibiotics in the developed world, Australia needs a more judicious approach to antibiotic use.

Antimicrobial stewardship programs, which aim to improve the appropriate use of antibiotics and reduce antibiotic resistance, have been shown to be effective in Australian hospitals.

Antimicrobial stewardship needs to be extended to the community where the greatest proportion of antibiotics are prescribed. Antibiotic restriction, education of prescribers and patients and prescribing feedback have already had some success.

**Antibiotic use and resistance in Australia**

Australia is one of the highest users of antibiotics in the developed world, with around 22 million prescriptions written every year in primary care. The defined daily dose in Australia is nearly 23/1000 population/day compared with less than 15 for Denmark, the Netherlands and Sweden (Fig. 1).2-5

**In hospitals**

The federally-funded National Antimicrobial Utilisation Surveillance Program monitors antibiotic use in hospitals. It provides reports every two months on inpatient use, which enables analysis of trends and comparison of usage between hospitals.

**In the community**

Estimating antibiotic use in the community is more difficult. It is based on a combination of Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme and Repatriation Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme reimbursement data, along with estimates of non-subsidised medicines obtained from surveying a representative sample of community pharmacies.

General practitioners prescribe the greatest proportion of antibiotics in Australia, mostly for acute respiratory tract infections. Antibiotics remain the most common class of medicine prescribed in general practice.

Patients who acquire an antibiotic-resistant infection stay in hospital longer and have higher morbidity and mortality. The World Health Organization refers to antibiotic resistance as one of the greatest threats to human health. The world is facing a global disaster unless action is taken now to preserve antibiotic effectiveness into the future.

The factors leading to bacterial resistance are complex and multifactorial. Along with infection control and surveillance, antimicrobial stewardship aims to reduce inappropriate use of antibiotics and improve patient outcomes.

Antimicrobial stewardship is a collective set of strategies to improve the appropriateness and minimise the adverse effects of antibiotic use including resistance, toxicity and costs. Stewardship is achieved by promoting the selection of the optimal antibiotic regimen, dose, duration and route of administration.
Antimicrobial stewardship programs in hospitals have been shown to reduce inappropriate antibiotic use by 22–36%. Programs improve patient outcomes and reduce adverse consequences of antibiotic use, including antibiotic resistance, toxicity and unnecessary costs. Since 2011, antimicrobial stewardship has been included in the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care’s National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards for hospitals and is one of the compulsory criteria of the hospital accreditation process. The majority of hospitals in Australia, including smaller regional facilities, are often used when all other antibiotics have failed. For example between 2001 and 2010, the incidence of MRSA in the community doubled from 10 to 20% of all reported S. aureus infections. In Tasmania, a recent increase in Clostridium difficile – an infection closely correlated with antibiotic use – was observed. This was most likely linked to transmission and infection pathways in the community, not within hospitals, and was driven substantially by antibiotic use in the community.

On a more positive note, when the selective pressure of antibiotics is removed, resistance can be reversed. In the 12 months following antibiotic cessation in individual patients in the community, resistance fades towards zero.

Antimicrobial stewardship in hospitals

Antimicrobial stewardship programs in hospitals are often used when all other antibiotics have failed. For example between 2001 and 2010, the incidence of MRSA in the community doubled from 10 to 20% of all reported S. aureus infections. In Tasmania, a recent increase in Clostridium difficile – an infection closely correlated with antibiotic use – was observed. This was most likely linked to transmission and infection pathways in the community, not within hospitals, and was driven substantially by antibiotic use in the community.

On a more positive note, when the selective pressure of antibiotics is removed, resistance can be reversed. In the 12 months following antibiotic cessation in individual patients in the community, resistance fades towards zero.

Antimicrobial stewardship in hospitals

Antimicrobial stewardship programs in hospitals have been shown to reduce inappropriate antibiotic use by 22–36%. Programs improve patient outcomes and reduce adverse consequences of antibiotic use, including antibiotic resistance, toxicity and unnecessary costs.

Since 2011, antimicrobial stewardship has been included in the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care’s National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards for hospitals and is one of the compulsory criteria of the hospital accreditation process. The majority of hospitals in Australia, including smaller regional facilities, have or are currently in the process of implementing stewardship programs. Guidelines for implementing antimicrobial stewardship in Australian hospitals have been published.

Programs must be adequately resourced and supported by hospital management. In large hospitals, antimicrobial stewardship is generally driven by a multidisciplinary team led by a clinician (usually an infectious diseases physician or clinical microbiologist) and a pharmacist, ideally with specialised training in infectious diseases. Teams work collaboratively within the hospital to implement the program (Box 1).

Several measures are used to assess the success of programs including antibiotic use, expenditure and antibiotic resistance. One proposed outcome indicator is the incidence of C. difficile infection.

An antimicrobial stewardship program was launched at the Royal Hobart Hospital in May 2009. The following activities were included in the program:

- formulation of guidelines
- the use of a computer-based antibiotic restriction and approval program
- regular infectious diseases ward rounds on the intensive care and oncology units
- daily stewardship ward rounds providing feedback to antibiotic prescribers.

In the first 12 months, spending on antibiotics was reduced by 30% (approximately $300,000), along with a 20% reduction in prescribing of broad-spectrum antibiotics such as ceftriaxone and meropenem.
Antimicrobial stewardship in the community

Clearly antimicrobial stewardship needs to be extended to where the greatest use occurs.14 Three principal strategies are already in place in the community. These are antibiotic restriction, prescriber and patient education and post-prescribing feedback (Box 2).

Box 1 Strategies for antimicrobial stewardship in hospitals

Five essential strategies:

- local prescribing guidelines based on Therapeutic Guidelines: Antibiotic11 and modified according to local organisms and susceptibility patterns
- antibiotic restriction with approval systems for broad-spectrum drugs (by telephone or computer-based)
- giving feedback to the prescriber
- monitoring antibiotic prescribing data and external benchmarking
- reporting microbiological susceptibility results in line with the institution’s restriction policy and treatment guidelines.

Additional activities adapted for local priorities and resources:

- staff education (on appropriate prescribing and antibiotic resistance)
- ward rounds (advice on treatment duration, changing empirical to directed therapy, appropriate dosing, and when to switch from intravenous to oral antibiotics)
- electronic prescribing (enhancing approval and decision support systems)
- provision of local antibiotic susceptibility data.

Proposed activities for the community

One approach could be to establish antimicrobial stewardship teams in the community. These could align with NPS MedicineWise to promote the quality use of antibiotics in the community. Teams could work out of Medicare Locals and residential aged-care facilities providing regular, ongoing NPS MedicineWise-endorsed education for prescribers and consumers, coordinated research, data collection and feedback (for example point prevalence surveys and clinical audits). In the future these teams might be guided by clinical standards for the quality use of antibiotics, set by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care’s National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards for hospitals.

The teams could provide further focus on:

- delayed prescriptions – these are antibiotic prescriptions with instruction to the patient that they should only fill the antibiotic if they deteriorate.16 This effectively reduces antibiotic use without causing anxiety for the patient or doctor19
- hand hygiene to reduce the spread of respiratory and other pathogens20
- alternatives to antibiotics – these include analgesics or decongestants for acute respiratory infections, topical treatments for the pain associated with otitis media,21 and corticosteroids21,24 all of which need more evaluation
- emphasis on the adverse effects of antibiotics (such as thrush, diarrhoea, nausea)
- improved communication with patients – the assumption that patients expect antibiotics is often incorrect, and a better shared understanding of the objectives of managing acute respiratory tract infections may well lead to fewer antibiotic prescriptions.21

These strategies have had some success. General practitioner antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory tract infections significantly decreased between 2000 and 2005. However, since 2005 there has been little change (Fig. 2).16 This may be driven by consumer expectation – an NPS MedicineWise survey found that four in five Australians expected a prescription when they have an ear, nose, throat or chest infection.4 Accordingly, in February 2012, NPS MedicineWise launched a five-year campaign against antibiotic resistance with a strong emphasis on community education.17

Conclusion

Antimicrobial stewardship in hospitals reduces the inappropriate use and consequences of antibiotics and improves patient outcomes. Aspects of stewardship are already in place in the community. Many of these are led by NPS MedicineWise. Adopting novel stewardship strategies in the community could provide a systematic approach to the growing threat of antibiotic resistance.
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**Antimicrobial stewardship: what’s it all about?**

Dentists should be aware that the prescription of antibiotics needs to be judicious, especially with growing concerns about antibiotic resistance. According to the Department of Health and Ageing, dentists account for about 3% of antibiotic prescribing. Dentists therefore contribute to antibiotic use within the community and play a role in the development of antimicrobial resistance. In Australia and overseas, dentists have been found to over-prescribe antibiotics, particularly penicillins, as part of dental treatment. Antibiotics may be inappropriately prescribed for dental pain when operative dental treatment is the appropriate management.

An essential strategy to avoid inappropriate antibiotics or inappropriate dose and duration is use of prescribing guidelines such as Therapeutic Guidelines: Antibiotic. Dentists can contribute to community education about antibiotic resistance and can also reinforce the message of the NPS MedicineWise campaign about using antibiotics only when indicated, by discussing these matters with their patients. However, the most important contribution that dentists can make in terms of judicious use of antibiotics is to follow prescribing guidelines. In Australia, these guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in the dental setting. The guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of infective endocarditis were updated in Australia in 2008 and limit the number of conditions which require antibiotic cover. Patients with mitral valve prolapse no longer require antibiotic cover for dental treatment. For patients with joint prostheses, the value of antibiotic prophylaxis has not been demonstrated.
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